

"Money Tool"

To begin with - it has been my intention to more deeply understand what has been previously referred to as an obsession with money. Actually, I don't believe anyone can simply be obsessed with money per se, since money is just a word - and then again - a word representing an extremely nebulous idea, which like most things, may be interpreted by each human being in their unique way, creating, therefore, an infinite number of interpretations of this idea, which itself is constantly changing.

To get a better idea of what I see herein described, imagine a tool, such as a spoon, that when it is picked up, in whatever manner an individual chooses to pick it up, begins to change its shape, material, composition, and actual use. Now, if the person, who, when picking it up was not sure of its use when first touching or seeing it, after its "transformation" certainly has no idea whatsoever what its intended use is. Here is where, without any effort on his or her part, the person who is intended to use the tool, begins to add his or her interpretation to the idea of just what the tool is, how it

can be used , and along the way, what it is continuing to change into.

Therefore, it is clear that I have never had an obsession with money in any shape or form, but a distinct curiosity as to what it is (at any one point), what it is changing into, and most importantly, just how to use this ever changing tool.

It would seem, from one perspective, that a tool such as our previously mentioned spoon, or a wrench, or a toothbrush, will remain a viable tool only as long as the person using the tool, or to use the tool, is in possession of it.

Money, in a different sense, once it is "used" no longer is in the possession of the "master craftsman". So in this sense, it has changed it's shape or form, or shape and form, instantaneously and simultaneous with an exchange (clear cut), whereas an agreement or payment over time lessens the immediacy of the transformation, but in general, increases the amount or size of the tool becoming expended.

Now what happens, for example, if full payment is never

made, and only part of an amount is collected? Does this mean that less (or a smaller quantity) has accomplished what more was originally intended to do?

Non payment (or falling in arrears) definitely can have the effect of "putting to work" an enormous number of people, whereas payment on time or at the exchange point (point of purchase) would never have triggered, and thus employed so much labor as is described above. So here, what I am saying is, that the absence of all or part of the tool is causing (in a sense) more results.

Now, how about credit cards, where the tool is not present at all, just the promise that the tool will materialize at some later date, and in a larger quantity or amount than originally borrowed. Here I would be inclined to ask - if the tool was not present in the first place, is it true that only such use of the borrowed tool, which will produce both the original tool and more (in order to pay the interest) plus something for the tool handler's efforts must occur? Of course, this can be done and done very well, but the tool handler's consciousness as to his intentions, time frame, and his collection of the tool in order to produce the desired results within it's desired time frame, must all be taken

into consideration and watched (each element together) as an entire picture.

Bingo! - Everyone's timing, sense of urgency for payment, collections, value of the merchandise and use of the merchandise to produce a certain value product or service, varies, and here is where the fun begins. Since there are an infinite number of interpretations, as well as values as to how and how much of the tool to use at any number of infinite moments, a harmonious activity involving this tool known as money must be rare, therefore the disharmony caused eventually by this tool only adds to it's pronounced perceived importance and therefore increases the awareness attributed to it.

Can this be avoided in any way? Only when humans accept money as being inevitable and variable as the air they breathe will it take a different importance in human lives so as not to put importance as to how much of the tool is used - but that it is simply necessary for



human existence! Walter Money